IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH,

AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.V.S. RAO

W.P. No. 16222 OF 2003

Date: 08.08.2005

BETWEEN:

P. Kamesh

.... PETITIONER

Vs.

1. Government of A.P., rep. By its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad, and others.

.... RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

This matter was initially listed on 5.8.2005 high up in the list. It is an old matter and the list was circulated to the learned counsel well in advance. In spite of the same, when the matter was called on that day, the learned counsel for the petitioner was absent and there was no representation for the petitioner. Therefore, this court, so as to give a last chance, directed the office to list the case under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. Today the matter is appearing under the caption “FOR DISMISSAL”. In spite of the same none appears and the learned counsel for the petitioner is absent.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default/non-prosecution.

_____

V.V.S. RAO, J.

8th August, 2005

Js